

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DIONTAE JOHAN DUNCAN,

Plaintiff,

v.

CALIFORNIA HEALTHCARE  
RECEIVERSHIP CORP., et al.,

Defendants.

No. 1:20-cv-01288-AWI-SKO (PC)

**ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DENYING  
PLAINTIFF'S EIGHTH AND NINTH  
MOTIONS FOR TEMPORARY  
RESTRAINING ORDER**

(Doc. No. 64)

Plaintiff Diontae Johan Duncan is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this civil rights action. This matter was referred to a United States magistrate judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On August 3, 2022, the assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations, recommending that Plaintiff's eighth and ninth motions (Doc. Nos. 57 & 61) for temporary restraining order be denied. (*See* Doc. No. 64.) Plaintiff filed objections on August 19, 2022. (Doc. No. 66.)

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the file, including Plaintiff's objections, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

1 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 2 1. The findings and recommendations issued on August 3, 2022 (Doc. No. 64) are  
3 ADOPTED in full;  
4 2. Plaintiff's eighth motion for temporary restraining order (Doc. No. 57) is DENIED;  
5 and  
6 3. Plaintiff's ninth motion for temporary restraining order (Doc. No. 61) is DENIED.

7  
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: December 1, 2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
10 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28